

## Public Health Futures- Quality Indicators Work Group

Ohio Department of Health  
35 East Chestnut Street  
Basement, Conference Room A/B

November 21, 2013  
1:00- 3:00 PM

### **Meeting Summary**

#### Welcome and Introductions

Participation at ODH: Joe Mazzola, ODH; Amy Stevens, HPIO; Mahjabeen Qadir, ODH; William McHugh, ODH; Kate Philips, ODH; Melissa Bacon, ODH; Participation via phone/web: Claire Boettler, CCBH; Terry Allan, CCBH; Ron Graham, LCGHD; Corey Hamilton, ZMCHD; Tom Quade, MCHD; Jennifer Scofield, Cuyahoga County Executive Office.

#### Previous Meeting Summary

The meeting held November 13 reviewed the results of the survey completed by the work group members to rank the indicators. The work group decided to remove the remaining indicators that did not received a score of 6 or higher. The work group then moved the indicator regarding child fatality review boards to the injury and violence section to ensure each core service area was represented. Finally there was discussion on how the state might create a dashboard system to track the indicators.

#### Discussion and Identification of Indicators

Per the direction of the work group, two additional indicators were removed due to a lack of county level data: BMI collection and youth tobacco use. For the remaining 15 indicators, the meeting began with a discussion about the representation of the indicators and the PHAB domains. Domains that are represented include 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10. Additional research was going to be done for domains 8, 11 and 12. The work group then discussed the indicators which began with chronic disease. It was noted because of the high cost-driver, chronic disease should have multiple indicators. Alternative indicators were proposed for youth physical activity. The group then recommended to use the Ohio Profile and Performance Database as a reporting source for those indicators which ODH did not already collect data. For example, for indicators related to youth physical activity, integration primary care and child fatality review boards, LHDs would use the OPPD to submit examples. Those examples could then be shared with all LHDs across the state and give the state the ability to share strategies in these areas. Modifications and training may be necessary to accommodate this reporting in the OPPD.

Questions remain regarding the vital statistics, emergency preparedness, ODRS related indicators. Additional highlights of the meeting involving the indicators:

- Removal of all “target” language from an indicator such as 20%
- Changed language of the indicators to use consistent action verbs
- Removed all background language from the indicator
- Changed the language to reflect the language of the AOHC Futures Report

#### Outline for Rules

The latest draft rules were again reviewed. Considerations discussed included the process of revising future indicators, public input, policy of metrics, and the rule posting memo process.

#### Next Meeting

- November 26, 2013 1:00 pm